

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,889	09/06/2005	Anders Jirskog	S108.12-0035	4935	
27367 WESTMAN (	7590 05/14/200 CHAMPLIN & KELLY.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400			BARKER, MATTHEW M		
	AVENUE SOUTH IS, MN 55402-3244		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		3662		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,889	JIRSKOG, ANDERS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MATTHEW M. BARKER	3662	

	MATTHEW M. BARKER	3662						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the following	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which happeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Req for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is actualised from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
<ol> <li>I he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>The double patenting rejection of claims 1 and 11-12</u>.</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3.5.7-10 and 12-16</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefree season the date of Cross - No.		be seen and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
_								
/Thomas H. Tarcza/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3662								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

The terminal disclaimer filed 4/28/2008 is sufficient to overcome the double patenting rejections of claims 1, 11, and 12.

Applicant's amendment incorporates a limitation from dependent claims 15 and 16 into independent claims 1 and 9. Claims 1-3,5,7-10 and 12-16 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Schultheiss in view of Blom or Damgaard. The rejections are maintained for the following reason.

On pages 6-7 of the Remarks, Applicant argues that Schultheiss relates to a frequency agility or frequency hopping type radar where frequencies are spread within a relatively narrow frequency range, and therefore it would not have been obvious for one skilled in the art to separate the frequencies by a factor of 1.5 or more. The argument is not convincing because there is no apparent mention in Schultheiss of frequency agility, frequency hopping, or most importantly, spreading the frequencies within a narrow range included Schultheiss gives little guidance for determining which frequencies to use. However, Schultheiss importantly indicates in paragraph 003 that the intensity of echoes can vary strongly depending on the substance being monitored, the material of the container wall, and working frequency of the radar. This provides motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art given the invention and teaching of Schultheiss to use a wide range of frequencies, including those greater than 1.5 or even 2 as in claims 15 and 16 to 1.